UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,628	03/01/2004	Donald A. Stevens	4417-040172	1336
Richard L. Byrr	7590 04/11/2007	EXAMINER		
WEBB ZIESEN	HEIM LOGSDON ORKI	LAUX, JESSICA L		
700 Koppers Building 436 7th Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA		3635		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D.	AVS	04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/790,628	STEVENS, DONALD A.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
٠		Jessica Laux	3635	
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Date of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ind for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	 N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). 	
Status				
2a)∏ Th 3)∏ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> is action is FINAL . 2b) This note this application is in condition for allowards and in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition	of Claims			
4a 5)	aim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawaim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or examples.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10)□ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate	
	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · o(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application .	

Application/Control Number: 10/790,628

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a lath, classified in class 52, subclass 342.
- II. Claims 12-33, drawn to a structural panel and # method of making, classified in class 52, subclass 474.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination requires that the lath have a plurality of slats to receive a structural coating while the combination does not require such a structure to the lath. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use as reinforcing for the exterior stucco of a building.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if

Application/Control Number: 10/790,628

Art Unit: 3635

any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and would therefore require a separate search query, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition the restriction requirement, examiner is also presenting a species restriction which requires the applicant to elect one of the following:

The claims are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

Species I – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 2

Species II – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 13A

Species III – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 13B

Species IV – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 13C

Species V – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 13D

Species VI – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 13E

Species VII – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 13F

Species VIII – the lath with the rib as disclosed in figure 13G.

The species are independent or distinct because they each require a different structure of the rib of the lath which would be a burden to search as it would require a

Application/Control Number: 10/790,628

Art Unit: 3635

disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 3635

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 6:30am to 2:30pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL 04/02/2007